

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/813,801	SERNO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Abandoned

 (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Susan Pellegrino, Esq.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 September 2006
**Time:** 4:20 pm EST
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Attorney Susan Pellegrino on Tuesday, September 5, 2006 at 2:40 pm and left a voice mail message for Ms. Pellegrino requesting a phone call to confirm the status of the instant application. Attorney Pellegrino called Examiner Alstrum-Acevedo and confirmed Applicant's intent not to persue prosecution and thus confirming the abandonment of the instant application.